1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 3 LISAMARIA MARTINEZ, Case No. 3:20-cv-06570-TSH 4 5 Plaintiff, **ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S** MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY 6 v. JUDGMENT AND DECLARATORY JUDGMENT ON PLAINTIFF'S ADA 7 COUNTY OF ALAMEDA, MELISSA TITLE II CLAIMS AGAINST WILK, in her individual capacity, EVA HE, DEFENDANT ALAMEDA COUNTY 8 in her individual capacity, MARIA LAURA 9 BRIONES, in her individual capacity, 10 Defendants 11 12 **ORDER** Having reviewed and considered the admissible evidence, briefing in support of and in 13 opposition to the cross-motions, and argument of the parties, and for good cause shown, the 14 Court GRANTS Plaintiff's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment and Declaratory Judgment in 15 its entirety. 16 THEREFORE, the Court DECLARES that Defendant Alameda County's refusal to modify 17 its policy against reading and transcribing information on paper forms for people with disabilities 18 who cannot otherwise access those paper forms is contrary to the requirements of Title II of the 19 Americans with Disabilities Act; it is 20 FURTHER ORDERED Plaintiff is a prevailing party pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12205; and it is 21 FURTHER ORDERED the Parties shall submit proposed orders enjoining Defendant 22 Alameda County from continuing to refuse to provide qualified reader auxiliary services to Ms. 23 Martinez, within thirty (30) days of the entry of this Order. 24 // 25 // 26 27

1	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
2	DATED:	
3	DATED:	HONORABLE THOMAS S. HIXON UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
4		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		